

Gibbs measures: idea and existence

September 16, 2017 12:44 PM

A measure μ on X is called *quasi-invariant* if $T^*\mu \ll \mu$, i.e. $\mu(E) = 0 \Rightarrow \mu(T^{-1}(E)) = 0$.

It has a Jacobian, defined as $J_\mu^T(x) = \frac{dT^*\mu}{d\mu} = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(C(x_1, \dots, x_n))}{\mu(C(x_1+h, \dots, x_n))}$.

Then $\int \nu d\mu = \int \sum_{T(y)=x} \nu(y) \frac{1}{J_\mu^T(y)} d\mu = \int L_\varphi(\nu) d\mu$, where $\varphi := -\log J_\mu$.

So, if $-\log J_\mu$ is Hölder, μ is the unique L^φ invariant measure.

Def Let $\varphi \in C(X)$. A probability measure μ is called *Gibbs measure* wrt φ if for some $A, B > 0, C \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$A \leq \frac{\mu(C(x_1, \dots, x_n))}{\exp(\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(x_i)) + Cn} \leq B.$$

In particular: $\log J_\mu^T(x) = \varphi(x)$.

Observe that $1 = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}_n} \mu(C(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}_n} \exp(\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(x_i)) \cdot e^{-Cn}$.

Thus $\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}_n} \exp(\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(x_i)) \leq e^{Cn}$. Take log, divide by n , to obtain $\beta(\varphi) = -C$.

Let us start with the normalized case: $\sum_{T(y)=x} e^{\varphi(y)} = 1$, i.e. $L_\varphi(1) = 1$.

Lemma. Let $\varphi \in B_0$, ν : the unique probability measure with $L_\varphi \nu = \nu$. Then

1) ν is T -invariant.

2) $e^{-\omega_n(\varphi)} \leq \frac{\nu(C(x_1, \dots, x_n)) e^{-\varphi(x)}}{\nu(C(x_1+h, \dots, x_n))} \leq e^{\omega_n(\varphi)}$ for $\forall x = (x_1, \dots)$

3) $\beta(\varphi) = h(\nu) + \int \varphi d\nu$. ν : unique such T -invariant measure.

Remark The lemma implies that ν is φ -Gibbs, w.r.t. $h(\nu)$, $B = e^{\|\varphi\|_{B_0}}$, $A = e^{-\|\varphi\|_{B_0}}$.

Pt. 1) Observe that $L_\varphi(f \circ T) = \sum_{T(y)=x} e^{\varphi(y)} f(T(y)) = f(x) \sum_{T(y)=x} e^{\varphi(y)} = f(x)$.

Thus $\int f \circ T d\nu = \int f \circ T d(L_\varphi^* \nu) = \int L_\varphi(f \circ T) d\nu = \int f d\nu$.

2) Note that

$$\int e^{-\varphi} d\nu \stackrel{L_\varphi \nu = \nu}{=} \int \sum_{T(y)=x} L_\varphi(e^{-\varphi} \frac{\nu(C(x_1, \dots, x_n))}{\nu(C(x_1+h, \dots, x_n))}) d\nu = \int \sum_{T(y)=x} e^{\varphi(y)} \cdot e^{-\varphi(y)} \chi_{\frac{C(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{C(x_1+h, \dots, x_n)}}(y) d\nu$$

$$\int d\nu \quad \text{Note that } e^{-\omega_n(\varphi)} \leq \frac{\int e^{-\varphi} d\nu}{\nu(C(x_1, \dots, x_n))} \leq e^{\omega_n(\varphi)}$$

3). We know that $P(\varphi) \geq h(\nu) + \int \varphi d\nu$ for any invariant ν .

Observe that for our ν , $J_\nu^T(x) = e^{-\varphi(x)}$, so

$$(*) \quad \sum_{T(y)=x} J_\nu^T(y) \log J_\nu^T(y) + \sum_{T(y)=x} \frac{\varphi(y)}{J_\nu^T(y)} = 0. \quad \text{As in the variation principle,}$$

integrate wrt ν to get

$$\int \sum_{T(y)=x} J_\nu^T(y) \log J_\nu^T(y) d\nu(x) + \int \sum_{T(y)=x} \frac{\varphi(y)}{J_\nu^T(y)} d\nu(x) = \int (L_\varphi \log J_\nu) d\nu + \int (L_\varphi \varphi) d\nu \stackrel{L_\varphi \nu = \nu}{=} \int \log J_\nu d\nu + \int \varphi d\nu = h(\nu) + \int \varphi d\nu.$$

The equality is reached iff there is \ominus in \ominus in \odot ν -a.e. By the entropy inequality, it means $J_\nu = e^{-\varphi}$ a.e.

Now let us do the general case. Here, we will take $\varphi \in C^s$, so that

$$\varphi = \varphi - \log h \circ T + \log h - \log h \in C^0.$$

Thm. Let $\varphi \in C^0$, $L_{\varphi} \mu = \mu$, $L_{\varphi} \nu = \nu$. Then $\nu = h \mu$ is the unique T -invariant measure with $P(\varphi) = h(\nu) + \int_X \varphi d\nu$.

So is ν , with $C = -P(\varphi)$, ν is also ergodic.

Remark The theorem can be proven for $\varphi \in B_1 = \{ \sum_k \omega_k(\varphi) \}$, which guarantees $\tilde{\varphi} \in B_0$.

Pt. $h(\nu) + \int_X \tilde{\varphi} d\nu = 0$, thus $h(\nu) + \int_X \varphi d\nu = h(\nu) + \int_X \tilde{\varphi} d\nu + \int_X \log h \circ T d\nu - \int_X \log h d\nu + \int P(\varphi) d\nu \stackrel{\text{invariant}}{=} h(\nu) + \int \tilde{\varphi} d\nu + P(\varphi) = P(\varphi)$, and ν is unique such invariant measure, and it was unique for $\tilde{\varphi}$.

Observe now that $\mu(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}) = \int h d\nu \leftarrow e^{\log h} \nu(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}) \sim e^{\sum \tilde{\varphi}} \nu(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}) = e^{\sum \varphi - n \log h} (\sum \tilde{\varphi} = \sum \varphi - n \log h)$. The same is true for ν .

If A is a T -invariant set, then $\nu|_A$ also satisfies $L_{\tilde{\varphi}} \nu|_A = \nu|_A$. Thus $\nu|_A = 0$, so $\nu(A) = 1$ or $\nu(A) = 0$.

Corollary. Let μ be quasi-invariant, $\log J_{\mu} =: \varphi \in C^0$.

Then $\exists!$ ν -invariant, $\nu \ll \mu$; and $\exists \gamma \in C^0$:

$$\varphi = -\log J_{\nu} + \gamma \circ T - \gamma.$$

Pt. As we know, $\varphi = -\log J_{\mu} \Leftrightarrow L_{\varphi} \mu = \mu$. Let now ν be the ergodic measure for $\tilde{\varphi}$, $\gamma = \log h$. Thus $P(\varphi) = 0$.

If ν_1 is other such measure, and $h_1 = \frac{d\nu_1}{d\nu}$, then the invariance of ν_1 implies $L_{\varphi} h_1 = h_1$, so $h_1 = h$, $\nu_1 = \nu$.

Def. We say that $\varphi_1 \sim \varphi_2$ (φ_1 is homological to φ_2), $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C^0$, if $\exists \gamma \in C^0$: $\varphi_1(x) = \varphi_2(x) + \gamma(Tx) - \gamma(x)$.

Lemma. 1) $\varphi_1 \sim \varphi_2 \Rightarrow P(\varphi_1) = P(\varphi_2)$

2) φ_1 and φ_2 has the same equilibrium measure if $\varphi_1 \sim \varphi_2 - P(\varphi_1) + P(\varphi_2)$.

Pt. 1) is established by a $\sum_n \varphi_1 = \sum_n \varphi_2 + \gamma \circ T^n - \gamma$, so $P(\varphi_1) \leq P(\varphi_2) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\|\gamma\|}{n}$. Same for $\varphi_2 \leq P(\varphi_1)$.

2) Follows from the fact that ν is the equilibrium measure for φ if $-\log J_{\nu} \sim \varphi - P(\varphi)$.

Def The equilibrium measure for the potential φ is the T -invariant ν with $P(\varphi) = h(\nu) + \int_X \varphi d\nu$.

Thm (Full variational principle)

$$\forall \varphi \in C(X): P(\varphi) = \sup \{ h(\mu) + \int_X \varphi d\mu; \mu \in M(X, T) \}$$

Pt. We know $\sum_n \varphi$, we also know $\sum_n \varphi$. Observe also that $P(\varphi)$ is 1-Lipshitz on $C(X)$. So in RHS. Thus, it is enough to prove it on a dense subset of $C(X)$, i.e. on C^0 .

Def $\nu \equiv \mu$ (ν is strongly equivalent to μ) if $\exists A, B > 0: \forall E \subset X$

$$A \leq \frac{\mu(E)}{\nu(E)} \leq B.$$

For example, μ and ν in the previous thm are strongly equivalent, with $A = (\inf h)^{-1}$, $B = \sup h$.

Thm. Let μ be a probability measure on X . $T \in A_E$:

1. μ is T -invariant, and $\log J_\mu \in C^0$.
2. μ is Gibbs for some $\varphi \in C^0$.
3. $\exists \varphi \in C^0$, ν -invariant probability measure: $\nu \sim \mu$ and $P(\varphi) = h(\nu) + \int \varphi d\nu$.

Pr

1) \Rightarrow 2) By corollary: $\mu \simeq h\nu$ for $-\log J_\mu$ -Gibbs ν .

2) \Rightarrow 1) $\varphi = -\log J_\mu \in C^0$.

2) \Rightarrow 3) Let ν be the equilibrium measure for φ . μ and ν are both Gibbs with the same $C = -P(\varphi)$. Thus $\mu \simeq \nu$.

3) \Rightarrow 2) ν is the equilibrium measure for φ , so it is Gibbs and $\mu \simeq \nu$, so it is also Gibbs.

Let us consider the case when $\varphi \equiv 0$. Then $P(\varphi) = h_{\text{top}}(T)$, and the equilibrium measure ν is the measure of maximal entropy for T . We'll study it in more detail later.